

# CORRELATION BETWEEN PODOCYTE FOOT PROCESS EFFACEMENT AND PROTEINURIA IN HUMAN GLOMERULAR DISEASES

# Silvia SPÂNU<sup>1\*</sup>, Constantin CRĂCIUN<sup>2</sup>, Costel SPÂNU<sup>1</sup>, Mirela GHERMAN-CĂPRIOARĂ<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Medicine and Pharmacy "I.Haţieganu", Department of Nephrology, Cluj-Napoca, Romania <sup>2</sup> University "Babeş – Bolyai", Electron Microscopy Center, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

**ABSTRACT.** The podocyte is a specialized epithelial cell with numerous interdigitating foot processes which play an important role in the regulation of the glomerular basement membrane (GBM) permeability. The nephrotic syndrome results from increased glomerular permeability to proteins and is structurally belived to be associated with podocyte foot process effacement. Despite increasing knowledge of the molecular composition of the glomerular filtration barrier, the relationship between proteinuria and foot process effacement remains unclear. The aim of this study is to analyze the relationship between podocyte foot process effacement and the level of proteinuria. Morphometric analysis was performed on electron microscopy images taken randomly from 37 patients diagnosed with various glomerular diseases. 17 of the patients had nephrotic syndrome and 20 had subnephrotic proteinuria. The mean foot process width (FPW) was quantitated for each patient and for each group and correlated with the level of proteinuria. In the non nephrotic group segmental effacement of the foot processes was present and the mean FPW was 422  $\pm$  90 nm. In the nephrotic group the foot processes were diffusely effaced, reflected by a FPW of 1196  $\pm$  517 nm, significantly larger than in the subnephrotic group. The level of proteinuria correlated with the FPW only when all 37 patients were analyzed together. There was no correlation when the groups were studied separately. The mean FPW was significantly larger in the nephrotic group compared to the non nephrotic group. Podocyte foot process effacement correlated with proteinuria.

Keywords: podocyte, foot process effacement, foot process width, proteinuria

#### INTRODUCTION

Podocytes are specialized epithelial cells that cover the glomerular basement membrane (GBM) with their numerous interdigitating foot processes. These, together with the endothelial cells and the GBM are essential components of the glomerular filtration barrier against urinary loss of proteins (Bonsib, 2007). Foot process effacement is present in glomerular diseases that present with proteinuria, especially minimal change disease (MCD) – where diffuse foot process effacement is the only morphologic alteration noticed (D'Agati, 2003; van den Berg *et al*, 2004; Patalunan *et al*, 1997). Foot process effacement is also invariably present in focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) and membranous nephropathy (MN).

Few studies approached the quantitative analysis of foot process effacement. It has been suggested that the degree of foot process effacement would depend on the underlying glomerular disease (Song *et al*, 2010). The mechanisms of this phenomenon remain unclear despite increasing knowledge of the podocyte structure and function (Pavenstadt *et al*, 2003). Furthermore, the relationship between the degree of foot process effacement and proteinuria remains controversial (van den Berg *et al*, 2004).

Foot process effacement can be the only ultrastructural modification in the renal biopsy specimen- typically in MCD, or it can be accompanied by other morphologic modifications of the podocyte and abnormalities characteristic for the underlying disease: the presence of immune deposits, inflamation or sclerosis /fibrosis.

In order to evaluate the relationship between the level of proteinuria and the degree of foot process effacement in human glomerular diseases we conducted a morphometric study on the degree of foot process effacement in renal biopsies from patients with various glomerular diseases and nephrotic and subnephrotic range proteinuria.

#### PATIENTS AND METHODS

37 patients with various glomerular diseases were included in this study in which renal biopsy was performed for dignostic purpose between 1999 and 2008. Only the cases in which renal biopsies were examined in light, immunofluorescence and electron microscopy were taken into account.. The patients were divided in two groups: the group which presented nephrotic syndrome (glomerular proteinuria >3,5 g/24 h) (N=17) and the group with subnephrotic range proteinuria (0,16-3,4 g/24h) (N= 20) at the time of renal biopsy.

#### Examination of renal biopsy specimens.

For light microscopic examination, the tissue was fixed in 4% formaline and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin sections were cut at 3  $\mu$ m and stained with hematoxylin and eozin, Masson's trichrome and silver-methenamine according to standard protocols.

For direct immunofluorescence examination the tissue was frozen in liquid nitrogen. Then, 3  $\mu$ m sections were cut on microscope slides and air-dried. Direct

immunofluorescence was performed using standard antisera (anti IgA, IgG, IgM, C3, C4, C1q, kappa and lambda light chains and fibrinogen) marked with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and then examined with a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss Axioplan).

For transmission electron microscopy (TEM) examination the tissue was promptly fixed in 2,7% glutaraldehide, postfixed in 2% osmic acid, dehydrated in acetone and imbedded in Vestopal-W. Thin sections were cut on a LKB-3 ultramicrotome. Sections were stained on grids with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined with a Jeol Jem 1010 electron microscope.

#### Foot process effacement quantitation

Five different areas were photographed and analyzed for each case. Approximately  $100 \ \mu m$  of GBM were measured for each case. The foot processes along the measured GBM were counted by hand. A foot process was defined as any connected epithelial segment butting on the basement membrane, between two neighbouring filtration pores or slits. For each case the arithmetic mean of the foot process width (FPW) was calculated according to the Gundersen method (Gundersen et al, 1980) as follows:

# FPW = $\pi/4 \cdot \Sigma$ GBM length / Σ foot process

where  $\Sigma$  foot process is the total number of foot processes counted for each case,  $\Sigma$  GBM length is the total GBM length measured for each case, and the correction factor of  $\pi/4$  serves to correct for presumed random variation in the angle of section relative to the long axis of the podocyte. For each patient the mean FPW was calculated and that value was used to finally calculate a mean FPW for each patient group.

#### Statistical analysis

Differences between groups were determined by the t test. Differences were considered significant when P < 0.05. Correlation analysis was performed using the Spearman test.

#### RESULTS

Demographic, clinical, biochemical and clinicopathological characteristics of the studied patients at the time of renal biopsy are shown in Table 1. The levels of proteinuria and serum cholesterol were significantly higher and the levels of serum albumin were significantly lower in the nephrotic group compared to the subnephrotic group.

#### Table 1.

	non SN	SN	P-value
	N=20	N=17	
Sex M/F	5/12	9/8	
Age at biopsy (years)	36 ± 11	42 ± 17	0.1
Serum albumin (g/dl)	$3.6 \pm 0.4$	2.1 ± 0.5	<0.001
Proteinuria (g/24 h)	$1.4 \pm 0.9$	7.4 ± 3.3	<0.001
Serum cholesterol (mg/dl)	196 ± 48	359 ± 210	0.01
Creatinine clearance Cockcroft (ml/min)	77.5 ± 32	63.3 ± 30.5	0.1
Clincopathological diagnosis (N)			
Alport nephritis	5	2	
Thin basement membrane nephropathy	5	0	
MPGN	1	2	
IgAN	3	1	
Fabry disease	1	0	
Diffuse lupus nephritis	2	0	
Poststreptococcal glomerulonephritis	1	0	
Cryoglobulinemic glomerulonephritis	2	0	
MCD	0	4	
Non IgA mesangioproliferative glomerulonephritis	0	2	
MN	0	4	
FSGS	0	1	
Amiloidosis	0	1	

Characteristics of the studied patients at biopsy

MPGN = membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis; IgAN = IgA nephropathy; MCD = minimal change disease; MN = membranous nephropathy; FSGS = focal and segmnental glomerulosclerosis.





Representative TEM images for each patient group are shown in Figure 1. The mean FPW in the individual cases ranged from 256 nm to 2429 nm.



**Fig. 1** Representative electron microscopy images from the studied patient groups, used for morphometric analysis of podocyte foot process effacement. (A) Well preserved foot processes; F, 46 yr, Thin basment membrane nephropathy-asymptomatic proteinuria and hematuria. (B) Complete foot process effacement - F, 20 yr, Minimal change disease – nephrotic syndrome.

The foot processes were well preserved in the non-nephrotic group, although segmental effacement has been observed in some cases. Mean FPW in this group was  $422 \pm 90$  nm. In the nephrotic group extensive foot process effacement was observed. In 7 cases:

MCD (N=3), MN (N=3) and GSFS (N=1) foot process effacement was diffuse. Mean FPW in the nephrotic group was  $1196 \pm 517$  nm, significantly higher compared to the non-nephrotic group (p<0.001) (Fig. 2).



**Fig. 2** Analysis of foot process effacement in the two groups, expressed as the mean of the podocyte foot process width (FPW). The error bars represent standard deviation.

Mean proteinuria in the non-nephrotic group was  $1.4 \pm 0.9$  g/24h and  $7.5 \pm 3.3$  g/24h in the nephrotic group. This difference was statistically significant (p<0.001). FPW was correlated to proteinuria when all

patients were analyzed together (r=0.65; p<0.001). FPW did not correlate to proteinuria when the two groups were analyzed individually (non- nephrotic group: r=0.08; p=0.38 and nephrotic group: r=0.11; p=0.36) (Fig 3.).



Fig. 3 Proteinuria versus foot process effacement in the individual patients studied.

#### DISCUSSION

Extensive foot process effacement was first described in 1957 in biopsies of patients with nephrotic syndrome (Farquhar et al, 1957). This phenomenon has been documented by many authors untill now and has been the subject of many investigations in human and experimental glomerular diseases. Despite of intensive investigations, the pathogenesis of foot process effacement and its relation to proteinuria are still not clear. Podocyte foot process effacement seems a stereotypic reaction of podocytes to damage (Kerjaschki et al, 1994). Various ways to induce foot process effacement and proteinuria by injuring podocytes were described in experimental models: immune complex mediated injury in the Heymann nephritis model (Heymann et al, 1959; Kerjaschki et al, 1989), direct toxic podocyte injury by puromycin aminonucleoside (Michael et al, 1970; Ryan, Karnovski, 1957) or adriamycin (Kaplan et al, 1976; Bertani et al, 1982) and injury by injecting antibodies directed against distinct epitopes on podocytes, such as podoplanin (Matsui et al, 1998) and aminopeptidase A (Assmann et al, 1992). The velocity of development of foot process effacement and its severity differ between the models.

The pathogenetic mechanisms underlying podocyte foot process effacement in human glomerular diseases, such as MCN, FSGS or IgAN are still unknown. In analogy to experimental models, different pathogenetic mechanisms may underlie proteinuria and foot process effacement (van den Berg *et al*, 2004).

Morphometric analysis confirmed that foot process effacement was significantly more extensive in the nephrotic group compared to the subnephrotic group. Still, we observed big differences in the degree of foot process effacement in patients with comparable levels of proteinuria. The abnormal high FPW was invariably associated with proteinuria, but in many of the studied patients with massive proteinuria, foot process effacement was only segmental. This observation is in accordance with the results from other studies which show that proteinuria is not uniformly associated with foot process effacement. An experimental model has been described in which after injection of monoclonal antibodies directed against slit diafragm components proteinuria occures without foot process effacement (Orikasa *et al*, 1988; Liu *et al*, 2003). A familial form of human nephrotic syndrome has been reported to occur without foot process effacement (Branten *et al*, 2001).

In our study proteinuria did not correlate with the extent of foot process effacement in neighter of the two groups. This result is in accordance with the observations of two recent studies (van den Berg et al, 2004; Deegens et al, 2008) which analyzed patients with MCD and FSGS and respectively MCD and IgAN. Other two studies (Gundersen et al, 1980; Powell HR, 1976) report the existence of a significant correlation between foot process effacement and the level of proteinuria in patients diagnosed with MCD. These studies included also patients who achieved remission and had proteinuria <1g/24h. This result shows that probably, in patients who achieve remission podocytes return to their normal architecture and that abnormal foot process width is invariably associated with proteinuria (van den Berg et al, 2004). When we analyzed all patients together we observed a weak, but significant correlation between foot process effacement and proteinuria (r=0.65; p<0.001).

This result appeares probably due to a practically normal FPW in patients with minimal proteinuria and due to the fact that podocytes regained their normal shape in patients with nephrotic syndrome achieving remission despite the persistance of a high level proteinuria.

One study analyzed the correlation between foot process effacement and age or treatment recived prior to renal biopsy and the authors observed that foot process effacement correlated only with the mechanism of podocyte injury, more precise with the underlying disease (Deegens et al, 2008). In this regard the authors of a chinese study affirm that foot process effacment correlates with proteinuria in patients diagnosed with IgAN (Song et al, 2010). When we analyzed the patients with IgAN included in our study, with the reticence becoming of analyzing a small number of cases (N=4), we observed that foot process effacement correlated with proteinuria (r=0.99; p=0.05). In contrast to prior studies and the present study, the chinese group used another method for foot process effacement analysis. They estimated the percentage of the length of GBM where foot process effacement was observed. This method may seem less time consuming but is also less precise, especially for the analysis of early modificatios of the foot processes compared to the Gundersen method (van den Berg et al, 2004; Gundersen et al, 1980; Fries et al, 1987) used in the prior studies.

The present study included patients with various glomerular diseases, the only inclusion criterion in one of the two groups being the level of proteinuria. The other studies analyzed a more uniform population of patients. Our results were in accordance with the results of other studies, underlying the necessity for further in depth studies of this ultrstructural modification of the podocyte with standardized methods, on larger and more uniform patient populations with glomerular diseases in order to clarify the relationship between the extent of foot process effacement and the level of proteinuria.

## CONCLUSION

A significant difference between the foot process width in the nephrotic group and the subnephrotic group could be observed. The degree of foot process effacement correlated with the level of proteinuria of the patients included in the present study.

## REFERENCES

- Assmann KJ, van Son JP, Dijkman HB, Koene RA (1992) A nephritogenic rat monoclonal antibody to mouse aminopeptidase A. Induction of massive albuminuria after a single intravenous injection. J Exp Med , 175: 623-635.
- Bertani T, Poggi A, Pozzoni R, *et al* (1982) Adriamycininduced nephrotic syndrome in rats: Sequence of pathologic events. Lab Invest, 46:16-23.

- Bonsib SM. (2007) Renal anatomy and histology. In: Jennette JC, ed. Heptinstall's Pathology of the Kidney. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 25-32.
- Branten AJ, van den Born J, Jansen JL, *et al* (2001) Familial nephropathy differing from minimal change nephropathy and focal glomerulosclerosis. Kidney Int, 59: 693-701.
- D'Agati V.(2003) Pathologic classification of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Semin Nephrol, 23: 117-134.
- Deegens JKJ, Dijkman HBPM, Borm GF, *et al* (2008) Podocyte foot process effacement as a diagnostic tool in focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Kidney Int, 74: 1568-1576.
- Farquhar MG, Vernier RL, Good RA. (1957) An electron microscope study of the glomerulus in nephrosis, glomerulonephritis, and lupus erithematosus. J Exp Med, 106: 649-660.
- Fries JW, Rumpelt HJ, Thoenes W. (1987) Alterations of glomerular podocytic processes in immunologically mediated glomerular disorders. Kidney Int, 32: 742-748.
- Gundersen HJ, Seefeldt T, Osterby R. (1980) Glomerular epithelial foot processes in normal man and rats: distribution of true width and its intra- and interidividual variation. Cell Tissue Res, 205: 147-155.
- Heymann W, Hachtel DB, Harwood S *et al* (1959) Production of nephrotic syndrome in rats by Freunds adjuvant in rat kidneys. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med, 100: 660-664.
- Kaplan BS, Renaud L, Drummond KN. (1976) Effect of aminonucleoside, daunomycin, and adriamycin on carbon oxidation by glomeruli. Lab Invest, 34: 174-278.
- Kerjaschki D.(1994) Dysfunctions of cell biological mechanisms of visceral epithelial cell (podocytes) in glomerular diseases. Kidney Int, 45: 300-313.
- Kerjaschki D, Schulze M, Binder S *et al* (1989) Transcellular transport and membrane insertion of the C5b-9 membrane attack complex of complement by glomerular epithelial cells in experimental membranous nephropathy. J Immunol, 143: 546-552.
- Liu G, Kaw B, Kurfis J, *et al* (2003) Neph1 and nephrin interaction in the slit diafragm is an importsnt determinant of glomerular permeability. J Clin Invest, 112: 209-221.
- Matsui K, Breiteneder-Gelef S, Kerjaschki D. (1998) Epitope-specific antibodies to the 43-kD glomerular membrane protein podoplanin cause proteinuria and rapid flattening of podocytes. J Am Soc Nephrol, 9: 2013-2026.



- Michael AF, Blau E, Vernier RL. (1970) Glomerular polyanion. Alteration in aminonucleoside nephrosis. Lab Invest, 23: 649-657.
- Orikasa M, Matsui K, Oite T, Shimitsu F. (1988) Massive proteinuria induced in rats by a single intravenous injection of a monoclonal antibody. J Immunol, 141: 807-814.
- Patalunan M, Miller P, Jumping-Eagle S *et al* (1997) Podocyte loss and progressive glomerular injury in type II diabetes. J Clin Invest, 99: 342-348.
- Pavenstadt H, Kriz W, Kretzler M. (2003) Cell biology of the glomerular podocyte. Physiol Rev, 83:253-307.
- Ryan GB, Karnovski MJ. (1957) An ultrastructural study of the mechanisms of proteinuria in aminonucleoside nephrosis. Kidney Int, 8: 219-232.

- Powell HR. (1976) Relationship between proteinuria and epithelial cell changes in minimal lesion glomerulopathy. Nephron, 16: 310-317.
- Song YC, Dae EC, Beom JL. (2010) Morphometric Analysis of Podocyte Foot Process Effacement in IgA Nephropathy and Its Associacion with Proteinuria. Ultrastruct Pathol, 34: 195-198.
- van den Berg JC, van den Berg Weerman MA, Assmann KJ *et al* (2004) Podocyte foot process effacement is not correlated with the level of proteinuria in human glomerulopathies. Kidney Int, 66: 1901-1906.